



For Office Use only:		
Date		
Ref		

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mr	Mr
First Name	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Last Name	Tunbridge	Wood
Job Title (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Organisation (where relevant)	Yorkshire Greenspace Alliance	CPRE West Yorkshire
Address Line 1		[REDACTED]
Line 2		[REDACTED]
Line 3		Sheffield
Line 4		
Post Code		S10 [REDACTED]
Telephone Number		
Email Address		[REDACTED]
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: [REDACTED]

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	<input type="text"/>	Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	<input type="text" value="Policy SC9"/>
---------	----------------------	-----------	----------------------	--------	---

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Policy SC9 Making Great Places

In principle this is a positive policy that we warmly welcome, though we consider that it could usefully be much more specific in its requirements for what makes great places (based on national and international evidence). We outline recommended elements of a stronger policy in answer to question 6 below.

It will be noted that the policy elements we recommend are much more measurable: the existing policy is very hard to measure, which in turn leads to an absence of quantifiable targets. As a result the policy will inevitably carry insufficient weight in decision-making compared to other policies in the Plan that do have quantifiable outcomes.

The policy as proposed, welcome as it is, will be ineffective due to its lack of measurable outcomes, and is therefore considered unsound.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The policy should be modified to include measurable elements of 'great places' alongside the subjective elements currently provided; and an appropriate range of quantifiable targets and indicators provided.

We suggest the following elements:

- high development densities enabling compact settlements, vitality of local services and public transport, surrounded by accessible, high quality countryside and interwoven with greenspaces;
- falling levels of air pollution, noise pollution and traffic congestion;
- success rates in recycling derelict land and rejuvenating old housing and industrial building stock;
- improving responsiveness and resilience to climate change, through design excellence, zero carbon and lifetime standards in all new development, and increasing use of green infrastructure as a vehicle for healthy lifestyles, strong ecosystems and responses to extreme weather;
- increasing coverage of Neighbourhood Plans, local designations of environmental and cultural assets and increasing levels of civic participation.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
x	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To further represent the views and concerns of the Yorkshire Greenspace Alliance in the light of all parties' consultation responses and the questions posed by the Inspector.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

26 March 2014